arXiv:1410.8408v1 [math.CO] 30 Oct 2014

On the cardinality of a factor set in the symmetric group

Krasimir Yordzhev

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, South-West University
Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria
yordzhev@swu.bg

Abstract

Let n be a positive integer, σ be an element of the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$ and let σ be a cycle of length n. The elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n$ are σ-equivalent, if there are natural numbers k and l, such that $\sigma^k \alpha = \beta \sigma^l$, which is the same as the condition to exist natural numbers k_1 and l_1, such that $\alpha = \sigma^{k_1} \beta \sigma^{l_1}$. In this work we examine some properties of the so defined equivalence relation. We build a finite oriented graph Γ_n with the help of which is described an algorithm for solving the combinatorial problem for finding the number of equivalence classes according to this relation.

Keywords: symmetric group; equivalence relation; factor-set; double coset; directed graph; Euler totient function.
2010 AMS Subject Classification: 05A18, 05C90

1 Introduction

In the present work we denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of the nonnegative integers and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 0$. Let

$$[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}. \tag{1}$$

We implement the operations $\odot$, $\oplus$ and $\ominus$ which mean multiplication, addition and subtraction by modulus n in $[n]$, i.e. for every $x, y \in [n]$ there are:

$$x \odot y = xy \pmod{n} \tag{2}$$

$$x \oplus y = x + y \pmod{n} \tag{3}$$

$$x \ominus y = x - y \pmod{n} \tag{4}$$

$[n]$ together with the operations defined according to (2), (3) and (4) is a ring with zero element n.

We denote the symmetric group over the set $[n]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_n \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; i_s \in [n],\ s \in [n],\ i_s \neq i_t \text{ for } s \neq t, \right\} \tag{5}$$

i.e. the group of all bijective maps $\alpha : [n] \to [n]$ of the set $[n]$ in itself. We denote by $\alpha(i) \in [n]$ the image of the element $i \in [n]$ under the map $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

We assume that the multiplication of two elements in $\mathcal{S}_n$ is from the left to the right, i.e. if

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ j_1 & j_2 & \cdots & j_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n,$$

then

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ j_{i_1} & j_{i_2} & \cdots & j_{i_n} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n.$$

In other words if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $\gamma = \alpha\beta$, then for every $i \in [n]$

$$\gamma(i) = (\alpha\beta)(i) = \beta(\alpha(i)). \tag{6}$$

We denote the identity element of the group $\mathcal{S}_n$ by ε , i.e.

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n$ the set of all elements of $\mathcal{S}_n$ which are cycles of length n. Obviously if $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n$, then $|\alpha| = n$.

Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

We suppose that we have chosen and fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$ described using a formula (8) and to the end of the article when we write σ we will understand the concrete chosen in this moment element of $\mathcal{C}_n$.

Definition 1.1 *Let $\alpha, \beta, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. We say that α and β are σ-equivalent (or just equivalent, if σ is understood) and we write $\alpha \overset{\sigma}{\sim} \beta$ (or just $\alpha \sim \beta$ if σ is understood), if there are $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sigma^k\alpha = \beta\sigma^l$, which is the same as the condition to exist $k_1, l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\alpha = \sigma^{k_1}\beta\sigma^{l_1}$*

Obviously, this relation is an equivalence relation.

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then we denote by K_α the set of elements of $\mathcal{S}_n$ to which α is related by the equivalence relation $\overset{\sigma}{\sim}$, i.e.

$$K_\alpha = \{\beta \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid \exists k, l \in \mathbb{N} : \ \sigma^k\alpha = \beta\sigma^l\}. \tag{9}$$

The equivalence classes of $\mathcal{S}_n$ by the equivalence relation $\overset{\sigma}{\sim}$ are a particular kind of *double coset* [2, 4, 10]. They make use of substitutions group theory and linear representation of finite groups theory [4, 10].

If

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & n & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

or

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ n & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then σ-equivalence take place in the mathematical modeling in the textile industry [3, 12, 13].

If s and t are positive integers, then we denote by $\mathrm{GCD}(s,t)$ the greatest common divisor of s and t.

With $\varphi(n)$ we denote the Euler totient function, i.e. the number of elements of $[n]$ that are relatively prime to n [1, 7]. By definition $\varphi(1)=1$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$. The aim of the present work is to describe the effective algorithm, which solves the combinatoric problem of finding the number of equivalence classes according to σ-equivalence when n is given, or in other words to find the number of the elements in *the factor-set*

$$Q_n = \mathcal{S}_{n/\underset{\sigma}{\sim}}. \tag{10}$$

As we will see in the presentation the number is one and the same for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and this number depends only of n.

In our work we will use some concepts from graph theory [5, 6, 8].

2 Some properties of the σ-equivalence

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$. For our aim it is necessary to find the number of all solutions of the equation

$$\sigma^k \xi = \xi \sigma^l, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n. \tag{12}$$

Proposition 2.1 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma, \xi \in \mathcal{S}_n$, defined according to (8) and (12). Then the following equalities are true:*

$$\sigma\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ x_{\sigma(1)} & x_{\sigma(2)} & \cdots & x_{\sigma(n)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

$$\xi\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(x_1) & \sigma(x_2) & \cdots & \sigma(x_n) \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

$$\sigma^k\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ x_{\sigma^k(1)} & x_{\sigma^k(2)} & \cdots & x_{\sigma^k(n)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

$$\xi\sigma^l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma^l(x_1) & \sigma^l(x_2) & \cdots & \sigma^l(x_n) \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

The proof follows from the definition of the operation multiplication in the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_n$ and according formulas (6), (8) and (12).

□

Lemma 2.1 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then for every number $s \in \mathbb{N}$ from the equation $\sigma^k\xi = \xi\sigma^l$ follows the equation $\sigma^{sk}\xi = \xi\sigma^{sl}$*

Proof. Induction by s. When $s = 0$ and $s = 1$ the statement is obviously true. We assume that $\sigma^k\xi = \xi\sigma^l$ and $\sigma^{(s-1)k}\xi = \xi\sigma^{(s-1)l}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 1$ are true. Then, $\sigma^{sk}\xi = \sigma^k\sigma^{(s-1)k}\xi = \sigma^k\xi\sigma^{(s-1)l} = \xi\sigma^l\sigma^{(s-1)l} = \xi\sigma^{sl}$

□

Corollary 2.1 *The set of all the solutions of the equation $\sigma^{sk}\xi = \xi\sigma^{sl}$, $\sigma, \xi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ contains all the solutions of the equation $\sigma^k\xi = \xi\sigma^l$ too.*

□

Lemma 2.2 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and let $|\sigma| = n$. Then if $K_\xi \in \mathcal{S}_{n/\overset{\sigma}{\sim}}$ is an arbitrary equivalence class according to the relation $\overset{\sigma}{\sim}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is its representative, then the following two cases are possible:*

i) $|K_\xi| = n^2$

ii) $\xi \in S_n$ *is a solution of a equation of the following kind:*

$$\sigma^k\xi = \xi\sigma^l \tag{17}$$

and the following conditions come true:

$$1 \le k \le l < n \tag{18}$$

$$k|n \tag{19}$$

$$|K_\xi| = kn \tag{20}$$

$$k|l \tag{21}$$

$$\exists s \in \mathbb{N} :\ 1 \le s < n,\ \mathrm{GCD}(s, n) = 1,\ l = s \odot k \tag{22}$$

Proof. We can get all elements of K_ξ by building the table:

$$\begin{array}{rrrcr}
\xi & \xi\sigma & \xi\sigma^2 & \cdots & \xi\sigma^{n-1} \\
\sigma\xi & \sigma\xi\sigma & \sigma\xi\sigma^2 & \cdots & \sigma\xi\sigma^{n-1} \\
\sigma^2\xi & \sigma^2\xi\sigma & \sigma^2\xi\sigma^2 & \cdots & \sigma^2\xi\sigma^{n-1} \\
\cdots & & & & \\
\sigma^{n-1}\xi & \sigma^{n-1}\xi\sigma & \sigma^{n-1}\xi\sigma^2 & \cdots & \sigma^{n-1}\xi\sigma^{n-1}
\end{array}$$

Since $|\sigma| = n$, then it is easy to see that all elements in a given row or column of the obtained table are different.

For every $\xi \in S_n$ the following two cases are possible:

i) There are not natural numbers k, l, such that $\sigma^k\xi = \xi\sigma^l$. Then obviously, all elements in the table are different and it follows that $|K_\xi| = n^2$.

ii) There are natural numbers k, l such that $\sigma^k\xi = \xi\sigma^l$ and as (17) is equal to $\sigma^{n-k}\xi = \xi\sigma^{n-l}$, then without restriction of the generality we can consider that $1 \le k \le l < n$. Let k is the minimal natural number, for which (17) and (18) are true when $\xi \in S_n$ is given. In this case we can see that k divides n and $|K_\xi| = kn$. We proved (18), (19) and (20).

According to (19) there is $p \in N$, such that $n = pk$. From lemma 2.1 and from $\sigma^k\xi = \xi\sigma^l$ it follows that $\sigma^{pk}\xi = \xi\sigma^{pl}$. But $\sigma^{pk} = \sigma^n = \varepsilon \Longrightarrow \sigma^{pl} = \varepsilon$.

Therefore, $n = pk$ divides pl, from where k divides l, i.e. there is $q \in N$, such that $l = qk$. We proved (21).

If $\mathrm{GCD}(q, n) = 1$, then $s = q$ and in this case (22) is true.

Let $\mathrm{GCD}(q, n) > 1$, where $q = \dfrac{l}{k}$ and let $p = \dfrac{n}{k}$. If we assume that $\mathrm{GCD}(p, q) = t > 1$, then $p = p_1 t$, $q = q_1 t$. Obviously, k can be represented in the kind $k = uv$, where $u = u_1^{a_1} u_2^{a_2} \dots u_w^{a_w}$, u_i-prime number, $u_i | q$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, w$ and $\mathrm{GCD}(v, q) = 1$. Then we set $s = n - vp + q$. It is easy to see that $1 \leq s < n$ and $ks = kn - kpv + kq \equiv kq = l \pmod{n}$. Therefore in this case $l = s \odot k$. We have to prove that in that case $\mathrm{GCD}(s, n) = 1$ too.

Let $r \in N$, $r > 1$ is a prime number and let r divides n.

If r divides p, then r does not divides $q \Longrightarrow r$ does not divides $s = n - vp + q$.

If r does not divides p, then r divides k and there are two cases

a) r divides u and r does not divides v. Then r divides q, but r does not divides $vp \Longrightarrow r$ does not divides $s = n - vp + q$.

b) r does not divides u and r divides v. Then r does not divides $q \Longrightarrow r$ does not divides $s = n - vp + q$.

Hence, $\mathrm{GCD}(s, n) = 1$. We proved that (22) is true.

□

Lemma 2.3 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, $|\sigma| = n$. Then if $\xi, \eta \in S_n$ are such that $\sigma^k \xi = \xi \sigma^l$, $\sigma^k \eta = \eta \sigma^r$, $l \not\equiv r \pmod{n}$, then $K_\xi \neq K_\eta$*

Proof. We assume the opposite thing, i.e. having in mind lemma 2.2 we assume that there are $\xi, \eta \in S_n$ and natural numbers k, l, r, such that $1 \leq k, l, r < n$ and for which $\sigma^k \xi = \xi \sigma^l$, $\sigma^k \eta = \eta \sigma^r$ and $K_\xi = K_\eta$ are true. Then there are natural numbers p and q, such that $\eta = \sigma^p \xi \sigma^q$. Replacing we get $\sigma^{k+p} \xi \sigma^q = \sigma^p \xi \sigma^{q+r} \Longrightarrow \sigma^k \xi = \xi \sigma^r \Longrightarrow \xi \sigma^l = \xi \sigma^r \Longrightarrow \sigma^l = \sigma^r$ and since $1 \leq l, r < n$, and $|\sigma| = n$, then $l = r$, which is in conflict with the condition that $l \not\equiv r \pmod{n}$.

□

Lemma 2.4 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_n$, defined using respectively formulas (8) and (12). Then the equation*

$$\sigma \xi = \xi \sigma^l \tag{23}$$

has a solution in $\mathcal{S}_n$, if and only if $\mathrm{GCD}(l, n) = 1$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is a solution of the equation $\sigma \xi = \xi \sigma^l$. We assume that there are whole positive numbers l_1, n_1 and p, such that $1 \leq n_1 < n$, $1 < p \leq n$, $l = l_1 p$ and $n = n_1 p$, i.e. $\mathrm{GCD}(l, n) = p > 1$. Then from lemma 2.1 it follows that $\sigma^{n_1} \xi = \xi \sigma^{l_1 p n_1} = \xi \sigma^{l_1 n} = \xi \varepsilon = \xi$, from where $\sigma^{n_1} = \varepsilon$, which is not possible, as $n_1 < n$, and $|\sigma| = n$. So we proved the necessity.

Sufficiency. Let a is an arbitrary element of $[n]$. Having in mind (13) and (16) we will look for solution of the system of n equation with n unknowns $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

$$\| \; x_{\sigma(i)} = \sigma^l(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{24}$$

as the beginning condition is

$$x_1 = a. \tag{25}$$

We will prove that there are $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in [n]$ satisfying system (24) as the beginning condition is (25), then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$x_{\sigma^k(1)} = \sigma^{kl}(a). \tag{26}$$

is true. When $k = 0$ (26) follows from the beginning condition (25), and when $k = 1$ it follows from (24) as $i = 1$ and from (25). We assume that (26) is true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we set $i = \sigma^k(1) \in [n]$ and as we reckon with (24) we consecutively get: $x_{\sigma^{k+1}(1)} = x_{\sigma(\sigma^k(1))} = x_{\sigma(i)} = \sigma^l(x_i) = \sigma^l(x_{\sigma^k(1)}) = \sigma^l(\sigma^{kl}(a)) = \sigma^{(k+1)l}(a)$. Hence, the equation (26) is true for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$, then the set $\{x_1, x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma^2(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{n-1}(1)}\}$ is identical with the set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$. Hence, using the recursive dependence (26) as the beginning condition is (25) when $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ we definitely get the solution of the system (24) as the condition is (25).

We have to prove that if $\mathrm{GCD}(n, l) = 1$, then

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n,$$

i.e. $x_s \neq x_t$ when $s \neq t$. We assume the opposite, i.e. we assume that there are $s, t \in [n]$, $s > t$ such that $x_{\sigma^s(1)} = x_{\sigma^t(1)}$. From lemma 2.1 it follows the equation $\sigma^{sl}(a) = \sigma^{tl}(a)$, from where it follows that $\sigma^{(s-t)l}(a) = \varepsilon(a) = a$. But $a \in [n]$ is randomly chosen and the last equation is true for every $a \in [n]$. This is possible, if and only if, $(s-t)l \equiv n \pmod{n}$. And since $\mathrm{GCD}(n, l) = 1$, then it follows that $(s-t) \equiv n \pmod{n}$ is true, which is impossible, because $1 \leq s, t \leq n$, from where $0 < s - t < n$. Therefore, $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

□

Lemma 2.4 is useful, because in the so proposed proof is described an algorithm for finding all solutions in $\mathcal{S}_n$ of the equation (23) in case that $\mathrm{GCD}(n, l) = 1$.

3 A graph used for counting the number of all elements of the factor-set $\mathcal{S}_{n/\overset{\sigma}{\sim}}$

Using the base of the propositions, described in section 2 we build finite oriented graph $\Gamma_n = (V_n, \Psi)$ consisting of set of vertices $V_n \subset [n] \times [n] = \{\langle k, l\rangle \mid 1 \leq k, l \leq n\}$ and set of arcs $\Psi \subset V_n \times V_n$. This graph helps us to find all equivalence classes according to the equivalence relation " $\overset{\sigma}{\sim}$ ", where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$. The following algorithm helps us to build Γ_n:

Algorithm 1 *Building oriented graph* Γ_n*:*

1. *For every natural number l, such that $1 \leq l < n$ and $\mathrm{GCD}(l,n) = 1$ we build vertex $\langle 1,l\rangle \in V_n$.*

2. *If the vertex $\langle k,l\rangle \in V_n$ is already built, and p is a prime divisor of n, such that $kp \mid n$, then we build vertex $\langle kp, l \odot p\rangle \in V_n$ (if it is not built yet) and we build arc $(\langle k,l\rangle, \langle kp, l \odot p\rangle)$ with the beginning $\langle k,l\rangle$ and end $\langle kp, l \odot p\rangle$.*

3. *We repeat point 2 until the possibilities are exhausted.*

Point 1 of algorithm 1 is made according to the propositions in lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.4. Building the vertices of V_n in point 2 of algorithm 1 is according the propositions in lemma 2.2, lemma 2.1 and corollary 2.1, and the arcs are built according lemma 2.1 and corollary 2.1. There are not other vertices in Γ_n, besides these received with the help of algorithm 1, according to lemma 2.3.

Studying algorithm 1 it is easy to convince that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the graph Γ_n is connected, there is a vertex $\langle n,n\rangle \in V_n$, which is accessible from every one of the other vertices of the graph, and this vertex is not a beginning of any arc. There is not arc ending in the vertices built in point 1 of algorithm 1 of the kind $\langle 1,l\rangle$.

We naturally define partial order $\prec$ in the set of vertices V_n, namely $\langle s,t\rangle \prec \langle k,l\rangle$, if and only if, there is a directed path in Γ_n, where the first vertex is $\langle s,t\rangle$ and the last is $\langle k,l\rangle$. Obviously, V_n together with the so initiated partial order is semilattice with maximal element $\langle n,n\rangle \in V_n$ and $\varphi(n)$ in number minimal elements, each of kind $\langle 1,l\rangle$, where $\varphi(n)$ is the Euler totient function.

For example on figure 1 are shown the graph Γ_{12}.



Figure 1: The graph Γ_{12}

Theorem 3.1 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and let the conditions (18) ÷ (22) are satisfied. Then the number of all solutions of the equation (17) is equal to*

$$\mathrm{p}(n,k) = k! \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Consecutively we get the sets $M = \{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k\}$ and $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$, where $M \subset [n]$, $A_i \subset [n]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, using the following algorithm:

When $i = 1$ we set $m_1 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \left\{m_1, \sigma^k(m_1), \sigma^{2k}(m_1), \ldots, \sigma^{n-k}(m_1)\right\} = \\ &= \left\{\sigma^{tk}(m_1) \mid t = 0, 1, \ldots, \tfrac{n}{k} - 1\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Let the numbers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{i-1} \in M$ are received, and let the sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots A_{i-1}$, $1 < i \leq k$ are received too. Then we set:

$$m_i = \min\left([n] \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j\right)\right) \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \left\{m_i, \sigma^k(m_i), \sigma^{2k}(m_i), \ldots, \sigma^{n-k}(m_i)\right\} = \\ &= \left\{\sigma^{tk}(m_i) \,\middle|\, t = 0, 1, \ldots, \tfrac{n}{k} - 1\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

When $t = \dfrac{n}{k}$ it is satisfied $\sigma^{tk}(m_i) = \sigma^n(m_i) = m_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, i.e. $\sigma^{tk}(m_i) \in A_i$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and A_i is built to contain just numbers of the kind $\sigma^{tk}(m_i)$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$|A_i| = \frac{n}{k} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k.$$

Since $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\sigma^r(a) \neq \sigma^r(b)$ as $a \neq b$, where $1 \leq a, b, r \leq n$, then the received family of subsets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$ is a partition of $[n]$, i.e. $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ as $i \neq j$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i = [n]$.

Let $a \in [n]$ and let more concrete $a \in A_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. We examine the set

$$B = \left\{\sigma^{tl}(a) \mid t = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{k} - 1\right\}$$

We will prove that $B = A_j$. And really, according to (22) there is natural number s, such that $1 \leq s < n$ and $l = s \odot k$. Then for every $t \in \left\{0, 1, \ldots, \dfrac{n}{k} - 1\right\}$ there is $\sigma^{tl}(a) = \sigma^{t \odot l}(a) = \sigma^{t \odot s \odot l}(a) = \sigma^{t_1 k}(a) \in A_j$ for some $t_1 \in \left\{0, 1, \ldots, \dfrac{n}{k} - 1\right\}$. Therefore, $B \subseteq A_j$. We have to prove that $|B| = \dfrac{n}{k}$, from where it follows that $B = A_j$. We assume the opposite thing, i.e. we assume that there are natural numbers t_1, t_2, such that $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dfrac{n}{k}$ for which $\sigma^{t_2 l}(a) = \sigma^{t_1 l}(a)$ is true. It follows that $\sigma^{(t_2 - t_1)l}(a) = \varepsilon(a) = a$. But σ is a cycle with length n as it is given and therefore the last equation is possible, if and only if, $(t_2 - t_1)l \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. But according to (22) $l = s \odot k$, where $\mathrm{GCD}(s, n) = 1$. Therefore the last comparison is equal to the comparison $(t_2 - t_1)k \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. But $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dfrac{n}{k}$, from where $0 < t_2 - t_1 < \dfrac{n}{k}$ and because $t_1 \neq t_1$, then $k = 0$, which is impossible . Therefore $B = A_j$.

Having in mind proposition 2.1 it follows that

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_n$$

is a solution of the equation $\sigma^k \xi = \xi \sigma^l$, if and only if, $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ are solutions of the system of n equations with n unknowns

$$\| x_{\sigma^k(r)} = \sigma^l(x_r), \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{30}$$

where $x_i \in [n]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $x_i \neq x_j$ as $i \neq j$.

We part the set of unknowns $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ into the subsets $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k$, where

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= \{x_a \mid a \in A_i\} = \\ &= \left\{x_{m_i}, x_{\sigma^k(m_i)}, x_{\sigma^{2k}(m_i)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{n-k}(m_i)}\right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ $|X_i| = \dfrac{n}{k}$, $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ as $i \neq j$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} X_i = X$.

Let $a \in A_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and let $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. We set

$$x_{m_i} = a$$

Then according to (30) we consecutively get:

$$x_{\sigma^k(m_i)} = \sigma^l(x_{m_i}) = \sigma^l(a)$$

$$x_{\sigma^{2k}(m_i)} = x_{\sigma^k(\sigma^k(m_i))} = \sigma^l(\sigma^k(m_i)) = \sigma^l(\sigma^l(a)) = \sigma^{l \odot 2}(a)$$

Continue do this by induction we get that

$$x_{\sigma^{tk}(m_i)} = \sigma^{l \odot t}(a) \quad \forall t \in \left\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{k} - 1\right\}$$

According to the above proved, we can get concrete values for every unknown of the set X_i, as all values are different to each other and they are among the elements of the set $A_j \ni a$. Hence, the problem for finding all solutions of the system (30) is put to the problem for choosing the elements $a_1 \in A_{i_1}$, $a_2 \in A_{i_2}$, …, $a_k \in A_{i_k}$, where $i_r \neq i_s$ as $r \neq s$. This can be made by $k! \left(\dfrac{n}{k}\right)^k$ ways, which proves the theorem.

□

Corollary 3.1 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and let the conditions (18) ÷ (22) are satisfied. Then the number of all solutions of the equation (17) depends only of n and k and it does not depends of l.*

□

Having in mind lemma 2.4 and theorem 3.1 we get:

Corollary 3.2 *If* $\mathrm{GCD}(l,n)=1$*, then the equation (23) has unique solution in* $\mathcal{S}_n$ *to within* σ*-equivalence relation.*

□

When we proved the theorem 3.1 we described an algorithm for finding all solutions of the equation (17) when (18) ÷ (22) are true.

From the definition of $\Gamma_n=(V_n,\Psi)$ (algorithm 1), lemma 2.2, lemma 2.3 and lemma 2.4 it follows that there is surjective map $f:V_n\to\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\underset{\sim}{\sigma}}}$ from the set of vertices V_n on the set $\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\underset{\sim}{\sigma}}}$, defined as follows:

If $\langle k,l\rangle\in V_n$, where $k<n$, then

$$f(\langle k,l\rangle)=\left\{K_\xi\in\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\underset{\sim}{\sigma}}}\ \middle|\ \xi\in S_n,\ \sigma^k\xi=\xi\sigma^l,\ \sigma^{k_1}\xi\neq\xi\sigma^{l_1}\ \forall k_1<k\right\}\tag{31}$$

and

$$f(\langle n,n\rangle)=\left\{K_\xi\in\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\underset{\sim}{\sigma}}}\ \middle|\ |K_\xi|=n^2\right\}\tag{32}$$

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $n\in\mathbb{N}$*,* $\sigma\in\mathcal{C}_n$ *and let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{h}(n,k)&=|f(\langle k,l\rangle)|=\\&=\left|\left\{K_\xi\in\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\underset{\sim}{\sigma}}}\mid\exists\langle k,l\rangle\in V_n\ :\ K_\xi\in f(\langle k,l\rangle)\right\}\right|,\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

where $f:V_n\to\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\underset{\sim}{\sigma}}}$ *is the map defined with the help of (31) and (32). Then for every couple of natural numbers* k *and* l*, such that* $\langle k,l\rangle$ *is a vertex of the graph* Γ_n*:*

$$\mathrm{h}(n,k)=\frac{1}{k}\left((k-1)!\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{k-1}-\sum_{\langle r,t\rangle\prec\langle k,l\rangle}r\,\mathrm{h}(n,r)\right)\tag{34}$$

is true.

Proof. First we have to emphasize that from theorem 3.1 it follows that $h(n,k)$ does not depend of the parameter l and it is one and the same for every vertex $\langle k,l\rangle\in V_n$.

Let $\langle k,l\rangle\in V_n$ and let $1\leq k<n$. Having in mind corollary 2.1 and lemma 2.2 we get:

$$kn\,\mathrm{h}(n,k)+\sum_{\langle r,t\rangle\prec\langle k,l\rangle}rn\,\mathrm{h}(n,r)=\mathrm{p}(n,k),$$

where $\mathrm{p}(n,k)$ is the function, defined using the formulation of the theorem 3.1, i.e. $\mathrm{p}(n,k)$ is equal to the number of all solutions of the equation $\sigma^k\xi=\xi\sigma^l$ as the conditions (18)÷(22) are true. Then applying formula (27) we get the equality (34) when $k<n$.

Let $k=l=n$. If $K_\xi\in f(\langle n,n\rangle)$, then $|K_\xi|=n^2$ and since $\langle n,n\rangle$ is the maximal element in V_n, then

$$\mathrm{h}(n,n) = \frac{|\mathcal{S}_n| - \sum\limits_{\langle r,t\rangle \neq \langle n,n\rangle} rn\,\mathrm{h}(\langle s,t\rangle)}{n^2} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\left((n-1)!\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)^{n-1} - \sum_{\langle r,t\rangle \prec \langle n,n\rangle} r\,\mathrm{h}(\langle r,t\rangle)\right)$$

□

Corollary 3.3 *[11] When n is a prime number*

$$|\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\mathcal{Z}}}| = \frac{(n-1)! + (n-1)^2}{n} \tag{35}$$

Proof. When n is a prime number according to lemma 2.2, V_n consists only of the vertices $\langle 1,l\rangle$ and $\langle n,n\rangle$, where $l \in \{1,2,\ldots,(n-1)\}$. From the corollary 3.2 it follows that $\mathrm{h}(n,1) = 1$, and when $k = n$ according to (34) there is

$$\mathrm{h}(n,n) = \frac{(n-1)! - (n-1)}{n}.$$

Then we get:

$$|\mathcal{S}_{n/_{\mathcal{Z}}}| = (n-1)\cdot 1 + \frac{(n-1)! - (n-1)}{n} =$$

$$\frac{(n-1)! + (n-1)^2}{n}.$$

□

Since the number of the equivalence classes according to the given equivalence relation is whole number, then from the corollary 3.3 follows the proof of the famous in the number theory Wilson's theorem:

Corollary 3.4 (Wilson's Theorem) *If n is a prime number, then*

$$(n-1)! + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \tag{36}$$

□

Theorem 3.3 *The number of the vertices of kind $\langle k,l\rangle \in V_n$ is equal to $\varphi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, where $\varphi(m)$ is the Euler totient function.*

Proof. At $k = 1$ and $k = n$, the assertion is obvious. Let $1 < k < n$. We examine the sets:

$$W(n,k) = \{\langle k,l\rangle \in V_n\} \subset V_n \tag{37}$$

$$\Phi(m) = \{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq s < m,\ \mathrm{GCD}(s,m) = 1\} \tag{38}$$

Obviously $|\Phi(m)| = \varphi(m)$.

Firstly we demonstrate that for every $t \in \Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, i.e. $1 \leq t < \frac{n}{k}$ and $\mathrm{GCD}\left(t, \frac{n}{k}\right) = 1$ the vertex $\langle k, kt\rangle \in W(n,k) \subset V_n$ exists.

i. Let $\mathrm{GCD}(t,n) = 1$. Then the vertex $\langle 1, t\rangle \in V_n$ exists. As $t < \frac{n}{k}$, then $kt < n$, from where it follows that the vertex $\langle k, kt\rangle \in W(n,k)$ exists.

ii. Let $\mathrm{GCD}(t,n) = q \geq 2$. Then n can be presented in kind $n = q_1 n_1$, where $q_1 \geq q \geq 2$, $q|q_1$, so that if p is a prime divisor of n, then either $p|n_1$, but $p \nmid q \Rightarrow p \nmid t$, or $p \nmid n_1$, but $p|q \Rightarrow p|t$.

We assume $s = n_1 + t$. Obviously $\mathrm{GCD}(s,n) = 1$. Then

$$s = n_1 + t < n_1 + \frac{n}{k} = \frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{n}{k} = n\left(\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{k}\right) \leq n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = n.$$

Consequently $s < n$, i.e. $s \in \Phi(n)$, from where it follows that $\langle 1, s\rangle \in V_n$.

As $\mathrm{GCD}\left(t, \frac{n}{k}\right) = 1$, then it is easily to see that $q_1|k$, i.e. a positive integer k_1 exists, such that $k = q_1 k_1$. Then:

$$ks = k\left(n_1 + t\right) = q_1 k_1 \frac{n}{q_1} + kt \equiv kt \pmod{n}$$

Moreover $kt < k\frac{n}{k} = n$. Consequently $\langle k, kt\rangle \in W(n,k)$ in this case too.

In this way we demonstrate that a mapping $\rho : \Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \to W(n,k)$ from $\Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$ into $W(n,k)$ exists such that for every $t \in \Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$ the vertex $\langle k, kt\rangle \in W(n,k)$ to correspond.

Let $t_1, t_2 \in \Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, $t_1 \neq t_2$. Then $1 \leq t_1 < \frac{n}{k}$ and $1 \leq t_2 < \frac{n}{k}$, from where $1 < k \leq kt_1 < n$ and $1 < k \leq kt_2 < n$. Consequently $kt_1 \not\equiv kt_2 \pmod{n}$. Consequently the mapping $\rho : \Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \to W(n,k)$ is injection.

Let $\langle k, l\rangle \in W(n,k)$. It follows from lemma 2.2 that a positive integer l_1 exists such that $l = kl_1$. As $kl_1 < n$, then $l_1 < \frac{n}{k}$We suppose that $l_1 \notin \Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, i.e. we suppose that a integer $p > 1$ exists such that $p|l_1$ and $p|\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$. Consequently integers $u \geq 1$ and $v \geq 1$ exist such that $\frac{n}{k} = pu \Rightarrow n = kpu$ and $l_1 = pv$. Then $ul = ukl_1 = ukpv = nv \equiv n \pmod{n}$. Then it follow from $\langle k, l\rangle \in V_n$ that $\langle ku, n\rangle \in V_n$, which is impossible as $ku < n$ and the only vertex of kind s, n is at $s = n$. Consequently the mapping $\rho : \Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \to W(n,k)$ is surjection.

Consequently a bijective mapping from $\Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$ into $W(n,k)$ exists, from where it follows that

$$|W(n,k)| = \left|\Phi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)\right| = \varphi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right).$$

□

Corollary 3.5 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the number of all vertices of the graph $\Gamma_n = (V_n, \Psi)$ is equal to n.*

The proof follows from well known equality

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n.$$

□

Corollary 3.6

$$|Q_n| = \sum_{k|n} h(n,k)\varphi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \tag{39}$$

□

4 Matrix representation

The formulas (33) and (39) give us an effective algorithm for the manual calculation of $|Q_n|$. Construction of the graph Γ_n is necessary for this object. This approach gives relatively good results at relatively small values of n as the experience of author has been shown. With increase of n probability of errors increases repeatedly, because of the number of classes increases exponentially, according to formulas (33) and (39).

In this section we will describe an algorithm for solving the combinatorial problem for finding the cardinality of Q_n and which is suitable for computer implementation. This algorithm is based on proven above statements. For this purpose we transform the formula 34 in the following recursive form

$$\mathrm{h}(n,k) = \frac{1}{k}\left((k-1)!\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{k-1} - \sum_{r|k,\ r<k} r\tau(n,k,r)\mathrm{h}(n,r)\right) \quad \text{for } k > 1 \tag{40}$$

and

$$\mathrm{h}(n,1) = 1 \tag{41}$$

where the function $\tau(n,k,r)$ gives the number of vertices $\langle r,s\rangle \in V_n$, such that $\langle r,s\rangle \prec \langle k,k\rangle \in V_n$.

For using the formula (39) as we avail ourselves of formulas (40) and (41) it is convenient to fill the elements of the matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, consisting of 4 rows and q columns, where q is equal to the number of all positive divisors of the integer n (including 1 and n).

In the first row we write down all integers dividing without remainder the parameter n.

We fill the second row of M with the values of the Euler function $\varphi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$, where k has been taken from the corresponding component of the first row of

Table 1: Matrix M at $n = 12$.

$k\|n$	1	2	3	4	6	12
$\varphi\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)$	4	2	2	2	1	1
$h(n,k)$	1	2	10	39	628	3326054
$m_{2j} * m_{3j}$	4	4	20	78	628	3326054

Table 2: The number of the equivalence classes in $\mathcal{S}_n$ at $2 \leq n \leq 19$.

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\|Q_n\|$	1	2	3	8	24	108	640	4 492

n	10	11	12	13
$\|Q_n\|$	36 336	329 900	3 326 788	36 846 288

n	14	15	16
$\|Q_n\|$	444 790 512	5 811 886 656	81 729 688 428

n	17	18	19
$\|Q_n\|$	1 230 752 346 368	19 760 413 251 956	336 967 037 143 596

M. The function $\varphi(m)$ can be realized as we use a variety of the algorithm, known as "the Sieve of Eratosthenes" [7, 9].

We fill the third row of M with the values of the function $h(n,k)$, according to formulas (40) and (41), where k has been taken from the corresponding component of the first row of M. For the calculation of the function $h(n,k)$, must first be calculated the function $\tau(n,k,r)$ which gives the number of vertices $\langle r,s\rangle \in V_n$, such that $\langle r,s\rangle \prec \langle k,k\rangle \in V_n$.

We receive the fourth row of M as multiply component by component the second and the third rows.

We receive the final result for the number $|Q_n|$ of the equivalence classes of $\mathcal{S}_n$ by the considered equivalence relation as we add the elements of the last row of the matrix M according to formula (39)

For example at $n = 12$ the filled matrix M is shown in table 1 and so

$$|Q_{12}| = 4 + 4 + 20 + 78 + 628 + 3326054 = 3326788.$$

5 Conclusion

We show in table 2 the values of $|Q_n|$ at $2 \leq n \leq 19$ calculated by a computer program based on the above described algorithm. The software is implemented in C++ programming language, and it is described in [14].

References

[1] T. Andreescu, D. Andrica, and Z. Feng. *104 Number Theory Problems*. Birkhauser, Boston, 2007.

[2] O. Bogopolski. *Introduction to Group Theory*. European Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2008.

[3] G. I. Borzunov. *Textile Industry - Survey Information*, volume 3. CNII ITEILP, Moscow, 1983. (in Russian).

[4] C. W. Curtis and I. Rainer. *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1962, 2006.

[5] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*. Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, New York, 1997, 2000, 2006.

[6] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1969, 1998.

[7] I. Mirchev. *Number Theory*. SWU "N. Rilski", Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria, 1995.

[8] I. Mirchev. *Graphs. Optimization Algorithms in Networks*. SWU "N. Rilski", Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria, 2001.

[9] E. W. Reingold. *Combinatorial Algorithms. Theory and Practice*. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1977.

[10] A. De Vos. *Reversible Computing: Fundamentals, Quantum Computing, and Applications*. Wiley, 2010.

[11] K. Yordzhev. On a factor set of the symmetric group. In *Mathematics and Education in Mathematics*, volume 28, pages 173–178. Union of Bulgarian Matematicians, Sofia, 1999.

[12] K. Yordzhev. On an equivalence relation in the set of the permutation matrices. In *Discrete Mathematics and Applications*, volume 7, pages 77–87. SWU "N. Rilski", Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria, 2004.

[13] K. Yordzhev and H. Kostadinova. Mathematical modeling of the weaving structure design. In *Mathematics and education in mathematics*, volume 39, pages 212–220. Union of Bulgarian Matematicians, Sofia, 2010.

[14] K. Yordzhev and L. Totina. Matrix representation of a solution of a combinatorial problem in group theory. In *Mathematics and natural science*, volume 1, pages 144–152. SWU "N. Rilski", Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria, 2011.